arXiv:1111.0601v3 [math.FA] 20 Jul 2012

BEFRIENDING ASKEY–WILSON POLYNOMIALS

PAWEŁ J. SZABŁOWSKI

Abstract. Although our main interest is with the Askey–Wilson (AW) polynomials we recall four other families of polynomials constituting part of the so-called Askey–Wilson scheme. We do this for better exposition of AW properties. Among others we express AW density as a product of the density that makes $q-$Hermite polynomials orthogonal times a product of four characteristic function of $q-$Hermite polynomials (2.9) just pawing the way to generalization of AW integral. Our main results concentrate mostly on the complex parameters case forming conjugate pairs. We present new fascinating symmetries between the variables and some newly defined (by the appropriate conjugate pair) parameters. In particular in (3.11) we generalize substantially famous Poisson-Mehler expansion formula (3.15) in which $q-$Hermite polynomials are replaced by Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials. Further we express Askey–Wilson polynomials as linear combinations of Al-Salam–Chihara (ASC) polynomials. As a by-product we get useful identities involving ASC polynomials. Finally by certain re-scaling of variables and parameters we arrive to AW polynomials and AW densities that have clear probabilistic interpretation.

1. Introduction

As it is well known AW polynomials were introduced in [9] and constitute the biggest (as of now) family of orthogonal polynomials depending on 5 parameters. One of these parameters is special, called base and denoted by q. In most of the applications where AW polynomials appear q is real from $(-1,1]$. Sometimes one considers also the case $q>1$. These polynomials are important in many applications in special functions theory (e.g. [17]), combinatorics (see e.g. [8]), both non-commutative (see e.g. [3]) and classical probability (see e.g. [2] [23]) or last but not least quantum mechanics (see e.g. [6], [5]).

Our presentation of AW polynomials as the last element of the sequence of families of orthogonal polynomials (AW scheme) will reveal some regularities that if properly extended might lead to a generalization of AW polynomials. To see these regularities we recall the 5 families of polynomials that belong to the so-called Askey–Wilson scheme of polynomials. We present some believed to be new, finite and infinite expansions of one family of the polynomials with respect to the other as well as expansions of the ratio of the densities of measures that make these families orthogonal. The families of polynomials that we are going to recall are related by the fact that respectively 4 (leading to continuous $q-$Hermite (qh)), 3 (leading to big continuous $q-$Hermite (bqh)), 2 (leading to Al-Salam–Chihara (ASC)), 1 (leading to continuous dual Hahn (C2H)), and finally 0 (leading to Askey–Wilson polynomials (AW)) of 4 parameters that appear in the definition of Askey–Wilson polynomials (apart of the 5th parameter - the base) are set to zero.

Date: September 1, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33D45, 33D65, 42C10; Secondary 42C05, 05A30,

Key words and phrases. Askey–Wilson, Al-Salam–Chihara, continuous dual Hahn, q-Hermite, , orthogonal polynomials, density expansion, kernels built of Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials.

Much is known about these polynomials however far from all. In particular only recently moments of the AW distribution that makes these polynomials orthogonal ware calculated (see e.g. [8] or [11]).

The paper aims to increase this knowledge. In particular we derive the so-called 'connection coefficients' between considered families of polynomials and try to derive as much as possible information about these polynomials from these coefficients. The connection coefficients are formally known (see e.g. formulae in [9] and [17]). In this paper we identify these coefficients in terms of known polynomials of parameters obtaining useful formulae especially in the case of complex parameters.

In particular knowing these coefficients we are able relate densities (if they exist) of measures that make these polynomials orthogonal. The idea how to get it was presented in [10]. We will recall this idea now briefly since below we use it to quickly and intuitively derive the densities that make involved polynomials orthogonal.

More precisely let us assume that we have two positive probability measures $d\alpha$ and $d\beta$ with the densities respectively $A\left(x\right)$ and $B\left(x\right)$ and let respectively $\left\{a_{n}\left(x\right)\right\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\left(x\right)\right\}_{n\geq 0}$ denote sets of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to $d\alpha$ and $d\beta$. Let us also assume that $\operatorname{supp}\beta=\operatorname{supp}\alpha$.

Suppose that we know the numbers $\gamma_{k,n}$ (known as 'connection coefficients') such that for every $n\geq 0$:

$$a_{n}\left(x\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\gamma_{k,n}b_{k}\left(x\right)$$

for every $x\in\mathbb{R}$. Assume also that $\int_{\operatorname{supp}(B)}(B^{2}\left(x\right)/A^{2}\left(x\right))d\alpha(x)<\infty$, then :

$$B\left(x\right)=A\left(x\right)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\gamma_{0,n}\hat{b}_{0}}{\hat{a}_{n}}a_{n}\left(x\right), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\hat{a}_{n}=\int_{\operatorname{supp}(A)}a_{n}\left(x\right)^{2}d\alpha\left(x\right)$, similarly for the polynomials b_{n}. Convergence in (1.1) is L^{2} convergence, however if coefficients $\frac{\gamma_{0,n}\hat{b}_{0}}{\hat{a}_{n}}$ are such that $\sum_{n\geq 0}\left(\frac{\gamma_{0,n}\hat{b}_{0}}{\hat{a}_{n}}\right)^{2}\log^{2}n$ $<\infty$, then by the Rademacher–Menshov theorem we have almost pointwise, absolute convergence. In most cases interesting in the $q-$series theory this condition is trivially satisfied hence all expansions we are going to consider will be absolutely almost pointwise convergent.

Our main results concentrate on the complex parameters case and are presented in Proposition 5 and Theorem 1. Then the considered families of polynomials are orthogonal with respect to distributions that have densities. For such parameters after additional re-scaling and re-normalization we get clear probability interpretation of the analyzed densities and polynomials. The interpretation is important for both commutative (i.e. classical) as well as in non-commutative probability case. In particular in the relatively new and intensively developing so-called 'free probability'.

As a by-product we get nontrivial finite identities involving rational functions of 4 variables (see Corollary 4).

It turns out that in this 'complex parameter' case there appear new fascinating symmetries between the variables and some of the parameters. In particular we express Askey–Wilson polynomials as linear combinations of Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials which together with obtained earlier expansion of the Askey–Wilson density forms complete generalization of the situation met in the case of Al-Salam–Chihara and $q-$Hermite polynomials and the Poisson–Mehler expansion formula (see Corollary 3 and Remark 7).

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we present elements of the useful notation used in the so called $q-$series theory, present AW polynomials and relate them to other families of orthogonal polynomials that are the object of this paper and point out relationships between them that will be important in the sequel. In Section 3 we present our main results. Starting with the useful conversion proposition (Proposition 5) that allows to express a certain combination of complex exponentials in the form of a certain combination of $q-$Hermite and Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials, we present our main result (Theorem 1) that is the finite expansion of n-th Askey–Wilson polynomial with complex parameters as a linear combination of ASC polynomials with coefficients that have a form of some polynomial closely related to ASC polynomials and conversely. That is we present $n-$th ASC polynomial as a linear combination of AW polynomials with coefficients being ASC polynomials of certain parameters. In Section 4 we give probabilistic interpretation of the AW polynomials as well as the AW density. We also present the exact form of these polynomials and AW density for the special cases $q=1$ and $q=0$. The last case being important for the free probability. Last Section 5 present less interesting or longer proofs.

2. Notation and definitions of families of orthogonal polynomials

2.1. **Notation.** q is a parameter. We will assume that $-1<q\leq 1$ unless otherwise stated. We will use traditional notation of the $q-$series theory i.e. $\left[0\right]_q=0$, $\left[n\right]_q=1+q+\ldots+q^{n-1}$, $\left[n\right]_q!=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[j\right]_q$, with $\left[0\right]_q!=1$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} n\\ k\end{bmatrix}_q=\begin{cases}\frac{\left[n\right]_q!}{\left[n-k\right]_q!\left[k\right]_q!} & ,\ n\geq k\geq 0\\ 0 & ,\ otherwise\end{cases}.$$

It will be useful to use the so called $q-$Pochhammer symbol for $n\geq 1:$

$$\left(a;q\right)_n=\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(1-aq^{j}\right),\ \left(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k;q\right)_n=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(a_j;q\right)_n.$$

with $\left(a;q\right)_0=1$. Often $\left(a;q\right)_n$ as well as $\left(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k;q\right)_n$ will be abbreviated to $\left(a\right)_n$ and $\left(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k\right)_n$, if the base will be q and if it will not cause misunderstanding. We will need also the following four well known, easy to derive, formulae

$$(2.1)\ \left(a\right)_n = \left(-1\right)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}a^n\left(a^{-1};q^{-1}\right)_n,\ \left(a;q^{-1}\right)_n=\left(-1\right)^n q^{-\binom{n}{2}}a^n\left(1/a\right)_n,$$

$$(2.2)\ \left(a\right)_n = \left(aq^{n-1};q^{-1}\right)_n,\ \begin{bmatrix} n\\ k\end{bmatrix}_{q^{-1}}=\begin{bmatrix} n\\ k\end{bmatrix}_q q^{k(k-n)}.$$

It is easy to notice that $\left(q\right)_n=\left(1-q\right)^n\left[n\right]_q!$ and that $\begin{bmatrix} n\\ k\end{bmatrix}_q=\begin{cases}\frac{\left(q\right)_n}{\left(q\right)_{n-k}\left(q\right)_k} & ,\ n\geq k\geq 0\\ 0 & ,\ otherwise\end{cases}.$

To support intuition let us notice that $\left[n\right]_1=n, \left[n\right]_1!=n!$, $\begin{bmatrix} n\\ k\end{bmatrix}_1=\binom{n}{k}$, $\left(a;1\right)_n=\left(1-a\right)^n$ and $\left[n\right]_0=\begin{cases}1 & if\quad n\geq 1\\ 0 & if\quad n=0\end{cases}$, $\left[n\right]_0!=1$, $\begin{bmatrix} n\\ k\end{bmatrix}_0=1$, $\left(a;0\right)_n=\begin{cases}1 & if\quad n=0\\ 1-a & if\quad n\geq 1\end{cases}.$

2.2. **Askey–Wilson and other related families of polynomials.** These families of orthogonal polynomials form a part of the so called Askey–Wilson scheme of orthogonal polynomials. It means that they are interrelated and each member of this sequence depends on one more parameter. All the families that will be presented below depend on one common parameter - the base denoted by q such that $q>-1$. For $q\in(-1,1]$ these polynomials are orthogonal with respect to some positive measure on $[-1,1]$ under some conditions imposed on the values of parameters. Hence we will mostly concentrate on the case $q\in(-1,1]$. The conditions imposed on the parameters consist in fact of the requirement that the products of all pairs of these parameters are real and have absolute values not exceeding 1. If one of the parameters, say a is real and greater than 1 then the measure has $\#\left\{k : aq^k > 1\right\}$ jumps located at points $x_k = \left((aq^k + \left(aq^k\right)^{-1}\right)/2$. The masses that are assigned to these points depend on the polynomial. Since our main concern is with the regular, 'without jumps' case we will not give those masses.

Askey–Wilson (AW) polynomials $\{\alpha_n\}$ were introduced in [9]. Its original definition was given through the basic hypergeometric function. Fortunately there exist other characterizations of this family of polynomials. Particularly there exists a characterization through 3-term recurrence. Namely starting from [16], (3.1.5) and defining

$$\alpha_n\left(x|a,b,c,d,q\right) = p_n\left(x|a,b,c,d,q\right) / \left(abcdq^{n-1}\right)_n \tag{2.3}$$

we get the following 3-term recurrence to be satisfied by the polynomials a_n:

$$2x\alpha_n\left(x\right) = \alpha_{n+1}\left(x\right) + e_n\left(a,b,c,d,q\right)\alpha_n(x) - f_n\left(a,b,c,d,q\right)\alpha_{n-1}(x), \tag{2.4}$$

with $a_{-1}\left(x\right) = 0$, $a_0\left(x\right) = 1$, where for simplicity we denoted $\alpha_n(x) = \alpha_n\left(x|a,b,c,d,q\right)$ and f_n and e_n are given below as assertion of the Proposition 1.

We have immediate

Proposition 1. $f_n(a,b,c,d,q) = (1-q^n)\frac{\left(abq^{n-1},acq^{n-1},adq^{n-1},bcq^{n-1},bdq^{n-1},cdq^{n-1},abcdq^{n-2}\right)_1}{(abcdq^{2n-3})_3(abcdq^{2n-2})_1}$,

$e_n(a,b,c,d,q) = q^{n-2}\frac{((a+b+c+d)(q^2-abcbdq^n(1+q-q^{n+1}))+(abc+abd+acd+bcd)(q-q^{n+2}-q^{n+1})+abcdq^{2n})}{(1-abcdq^{2n-2})(1-abcdq^{2n})}$

Proof. Technical, uninteresting proof is moved to Section 5. It is based on formula (3.1.4) of [16]. □

Again from Favard's theorem it follows that polynomials $\{\alpha_n\}$ are orthogonal with respect to the positive measure provided $f_n\left(a,b,c,d,q\right)$ is nonnegative for all $n \geq 1$. Again examining the form of f_n and e_n we see that this requirement can be reduced to the requirement that $\left|ab\right|, \left|ac\right|, \left|ad\right|, \left|bc\right|, \left|bd\right|, \left|cd\right| \leq 1$. If $\left|a\right|, \left|b\right|, \left|c\right|, \left|d\right| \leq 1$ then this measure has density.

Lemma 1. *We have*

$$\alpha_n(x|a,b,c,d,q) = \sum_{i=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \left(-a\right)^{n-i} q^{\binom{n-i}{2}} \frac{\left(bcq^i, bdq^i, cdq^i\right)_{n-i}}{\left(abcdq^{n+i-1}\right)_{n-i}} \psi_i\left(x|b,c,d,q\right), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\psi_n\left(x|b,c,d,q\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q a^{n-i} \frac{\left(bcq^i, bdq^i, cdq^i\right)_{n-i}}{\left(abcdq^{2i}\right)_{n-i}} \alpha_i\left(x|a,b,c,d,q\right). \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. Is shifted to Section 5. □

Let us remark that AW polynomials with some of the parameters a,b,c,d set to zero constitute new families of polynomials and have separate names. Namely

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n(x|0,b,c,d,q) &= \psi_n(x|b,c,d,q),\ \alpha_n(x|0,0,c,d,q) = Q_n(x|c,d,q), \\ \alpha_n(x|0,0,c,d,q) &= h_n(x|d,q),\ \alpha_n(x|0,0,0,0,q) = h_n(x|q), \end{aligned}$$

and polynomials $\left\{\psi_n(x|b,c,d,q)\right\}, \left\{Q_n(x|c,d,q)\right\}, \left\{h_n(x|d,q)\right\}, \left\{h_n(x|q)\right\}$ are called in the literature (see e.g. [17] or [16]) respectively continuous dual Hahn, Al-Salam–Chihara, continuous big $q-$Hermite and continuous $q-$Hermite.

Moreover these polynomials are related to one another by the relationships similar to (2.5) and (2.6) with appropriate parameters set to zero.

Remark 1. In deriving (2.5) and (2.6) we used [9], 6.5. Note that there exits also slightly different formula [17], 16.4.3.

Let us introduce two functions whose definitions were taken from [16]. They have the following interpretation. One is a characteristic function of $q-$Hermite polynomials

$$\varphi_{h}\left(x|\rho,q\right)=\sum_{n\geq0}\frac{\rho^{n}}{\left(q\right)_{n}}h_{n}\left(x|q\right)=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=0}^{\infty}v\left(x|\rho q^{i}\right)},\text{ with }v\left(x|t\right)=1-2xt+t^{2}\tag{2.7}$$

convergent for $|\rho|<1$, $|x|\leq1$. ([16], 3.26.11). Let us observe that $\forall x\in[-1,1]$, $t\in\mathbb{R}:v\left(x|t\right)\geq0$.

The other one is the density with respect to which $q-$ Hermite polynomials are orthogonal i.e. we have:

$$\int_{[-1,1]}h_{n}\left(x|q\right)h_{m}\left(x|q\right)f_{h}\left(x|q\right)dx=\delta_{m,n}\left(q\right)_{n},\ f_{h}\left(x|q\right)=\frac{2\left(q\right)_{\infty}\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{\pi}\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}l\left(x|q^{i}\right),\tag{2.8}$$

with $l\left(x|a\right)=\left(1+a\right)^{2}-4ax^{2}$. ([16], 3.26.2). Notice that $\forall\left|x\right|\leq1:l\left(x|a\right)\geq0$.

Using these function one can express densities of the measures that makes other families of polynomials orthogonal. Namely it is an easy observation that formulae respectively (3.1.2), (3.3.2), (3.8.2), (3.18.2) of [16] can be written in form for $\left|a\right|$, $\left|b\right|$, $\left|c\right|$, $\left|d\right|<1$

$$f_{AW}\left(x|a,b,c,d,q\right)=f_{h}\left(x|q\right)\varphi_{h}\left(x|a,q\right)\varphi_{h}\left(x|b,q\right)\varphi_{h}\left(x|c,q\right)\varphi_{h}\left(x|d,q\right)\frac{\left(ab,ac,ad,bc,bd,cd\right)_{\infty}}{\left(abcd\right)_{\infty}},\tag{2.9}$$

$$f_{\psi}\left(x|a,b,c,q\right)=f_{h}\left(x|q\right)\varphi_{h}\left(x|a,q\right)\varphi_{h}\left(x|b,q\right)\varphi_{h}\left(x|c,q\right)\left(ab,ac,bc\right)_{\infty},\tag{2.10}$$

$$f_{Q}\left(x|a,b,q\right)=f_{h}\left(x|q\right)\varphi_{h}\left(x|a,q\right)\varphi_{h}\left(x|b,q\right)\left(ab\right)_{\infty},\tag{2.11}$$

$$f_{bh}\left(x|a,q\right)=f_{h}\left(x|q\right)\varphi_{h}\left(x|a,q\right).\tag{2.12}$$

These functions are the densities of measures that make respectively AW, C2H, ASC, bH polynomials orthogonal. Let us remark that we can get these formulae expressing densities also using formulae (2.6 with $b=c=d=0$), (2.5 with $c=d=0$), (2.6 with $d=0$) and (2.6) and the presented above idea of the ratio of the density expansion. We can also follow the path indicated in the Remark below and get (2.9) then by assigning zero values to appropriate parameters get the remaining densities.

Remark 2. Following the idea of expansion, assuming $\left|a\right|$, $\left|b\right|$, $\left|c\right|$, $\left|d\right|\leq1$ (2.6), we can relate density of the Askey–Wilson polynomials and the density of C2H polynomials. Namely we have:

$$f_{AW}\left(x|a,b,c,d,q\right)=f_{\psi}\left(x|b,c,d,q\right)\sum_{j}\frac{a^{j}}{\left(abcd\right)_{j}}\psi_{j}\left(x|b,c,d,q\right).\tag{2.13}$$

Expression $\sum_{j}\frac{a^{j}}{\left(abcd\right)_{j}}\psi_{j}\left(x|b,c,d,q\right)$ was summed recently by Atakishiyeva and Atakishiyev in [4] yielding

$$\sum_{j}\frac{a^{j}}{\left(abcd\right)_{j}}\psi_{j}\left(x|b,c,d,q\right)=\frac{\left(ab,ac,ad\right)_{\infty}}{\left(abcd\right)_{\infty}}\varphi_{h}\left(x|a,q\right).$$

Hence following (2.10) we have (2.10) we get (2.9). This formula is known for nearly 30 years of course in a different form (see [9], [17], [16]). The presented above one exposes symmetry of this density with respect to the parameters. However notice that if it was assumed to be known then we would be able by (2.13) to sum $\sum_{j}\frac{a^{j}}{\left(abcd\right)_{j}}\psi_{j}\left(x|b,c,d,q\right)$ saving Atakishiyeva and Atakishiyev a lot of work.

Whatever point of view we chose, (2.13) gives a new interesting interpretation to the generating function $\sum_j \frac{a^j}{(abcd)_j}\psi_j\left(x|b,c,d,q\right).$

Remark 3. Following the fact that $\int_{-1}^{1} f_{AW}\left(x|a,b,c,d,q\right)dx = 1$ and of course (2.9) we have:

$$\sum_{j,k,n,m}\frac{a^j b^k c^n d^m}{(q)_j (q)_k (q)_n (q)_m}\int_{-1}^{1} h_j\left(x|q\right)h_k\left(x|q\right)h_n\left(x|q\right)h_m\left(x|q\right)f_h\left(x|q\right)dx = \frac{(abcd)_\infty}{(ab,ac,ad,bc,bd,cd)_\infty},$$

i.e. characteristic function of the numbers $\left\{\int_{-1}^{1} h_j\left(x|q\right)h_k\left(x|q\right)h_n\left(x|q\right)h_m\left(x|q\right)f_h\left(x|q\right)dx\right\}_{j,k,n,m\geq 0}$ for free. Similarly by setting say $a = 0$ in the above mentioned formula we can get characteristic function of the numbers $\left\{\int_{-1}^{1} h_j\left(x|q\right)h_k\left(x|q\right)h_n\left(x|q\right)f_h\left(x|q\right)dx\right\}_{j,k,n\geq 0}$. Numbers $\int_{-1}^{1} h_i\left(x|q\right)h_j\left(x|q\right)h_k\left(x|q\right)f_h\left(x|q\right)dx$ have been calculated and have many forms. One of them can be found in [17].

Remark 4. For completeness let us remark that following [17] or [16] we have;
(2.14)

$$\int_{[-1,1]}\alpha_n\left(x|a,b,c,d,q\right)\alpha_m\left(x|a,b,c,d,q\right)f_{AW}\left(x|a,b,c,d,q\right)dx = \delta_{mn}\frac{\left(abcdq^{n-1}\right)_n (ab,ac,ad,bc,bd,cd)_n}{(abcd)_{2n}}$$

In the next section when discussing complex parameter case we will need the following corollary of Lemma 1.

Corollary 1.

$$\alpha_n(x|a,b,c,d,q) = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}}\left(cdq^k\right)_{n-k} Q_k\left(x|c,d,q\right) \tag{2.15}$$

$$\times\sum_{m=0}^{n-k}\begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{m(m-n+k)} a^m b^{n-k-m}\frac{(bcq^{n-m}, bdq^{n-m})_m}{(abcdq^{2n-m-1})_m} \tag{2.16}$$

$$Q_n\left(x|c,d,q\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \left(cdq^j\right)_{n-j}\alpha_j\left(x|a,b,c,d,q\right) \tag{2.17}$$

$$\times\sum_{m=0}^{n-j}\begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q b^{n-j-m} a^m \frac{\left(bcq^j, bdq^j\right)_m}{\left(abcdq^{2j}\right)_m} \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. Is shifted to Section 5. ☐

3. Complex parameters

As mentioned above all analyzed polynomials are orthogonal with respect to a positive measure if only products of all pairs of their parameters have absolute values less that 1. Hence these parameters can also be complex but forming conjugate pairs.

In this section we will consider new parameters y, z, ρ_1, ρ_2 related to parameters a, b, c, d by the following relationship:

$$a = \rho_1\exp\left(i\theta\right),\ b = \rho_1\exp\left(-i\theta\right),\ c = \rho_2\exp\left(i\eta\right),\ d = \rho_2\exp\left(i\eta\right), \tag{3.1}$$

with $y = \cos\theta$, $z = \cos\eta$.

Specially important will be in this section polynomials that depend on even numbers of parameters i.e. $q-$Hermite, ASC and AW polynomials, since then the measures that make these polynomials orthogonal have densities.

First let us consider ASC polynomials with complex parameters $a = \rho\exp\left(i\eta\right)$, $b = \rho\exp\left(-i\eta\right)$.

Let us denote $Q_n\left(x|\rho\exp\left(i\eta\right),\rho\exp\left(-i\eta\right),q\right)=p_n\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)$, where $\cos\eta=y$.

One can easily notice that polynomials p_n satisfy the following 3-term recurrence:
(3.2)
$$p_{n+1}\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=2(x-\rho yq^n)p_n(x|y,\rho,q)-(1-q^n)(1-\rho^2q^{n-1})p_{n-1}\left(x|y,\rho,q\right).$$

Following [19] (1.2) we have: $\varphi_p\left(x,t|y,\rho,q\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{t^j}{(q)_j}p_j\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{v\left(y|\rho tq^j\right)}{v(x|tq^j)}$.

Notice that

$$\varphi_p\left(x,t|y,\rho,q\right)=\frac{\varphi_h\left(x|t,q\right)}{\varphi_h\left(y|t\rho,q\right)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Let us introduce also the following $\left\{b_n\left(x|q\right)\right\}_{n\geq-1}$ auxiliary family of polynomials:

$$b_n\left(x|q\right)=\left(-1\right)^nq^{\binom{n}{2}}h_n\left(x|q^{-1}\right). \tag{3.4}$$

Polynomials were studied in [25], [26] and [24] and some of their basic properties can be found there. Its rôle in the complex parameters case was disclosed in [19]. We collect these properties and expose the rôle of these polynomials in the following Lemma.

Lemma 2. *For* $\forall n\geq0$, $x,y,\rho\in\mathbb{R}$, *i)* $\forall n\geq0: b_{n+1}\left(x|q\right)=-2q^nxb_n\left(x|q\right)+q^{n-1}(1-q^n)b_{n-1}\left(x|q\right)$, $b_n\left(x|q^{-1}\right)=(-1)^nq^{-\binom{n}{2}}h_n\left(x|q\right)$,

ii) $\sum_{j\geq0}\frac{t^n}{(q)_n}b_j\left(x|q\right)=\prod_{k=0}^{\infty}v\left(x|tq^k\right)=1/\varphi_h\left(x|t,q\right)$, *consequently* $\forall n\geq1$: $\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}n\\j\end{smallmatrix}\right]_qh_j\left(x|q\right)b_{n-j}\left(x|q\right)=0$,

iii) $p_n\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}n\\j\end{smallmatrix}\right]_q\rho^{n-j}h_j\left(x|q\right)b_{n-j}\left(y|q\right)$, $p_n\left(x|y,0,q\right)=h_n\left(x|q\right)$,

iv) $h_n\left(x|q\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}n\\j\end{smallmatrix}\right]_qp_j\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)\rho^{n-j}h_{n-j}\left(y|q\right)$.

Proof. We prove first our identities for $x,y\in[-1,1]$, $|\rho|<1$. Then since all involved functions are polynomials we extend them to all values of variables. i) second assertion of i) follow directly definition of b_n to get the other we start with 3−term recurrence satisfied for polynomials h_n i.e. $h_{n+1}\left(x|q^{-1}\right)=2xh_n\left(x|q^{-1}\right)-(1-q^{-n})h_{n-1}\left(x|q^{-1}\right)$. Then we multiply both sides by $(-1)^{n+1}q^{\binom{n+1}{2}}$ and utilize the fact that $\binom{n}{2}+n=\binom{n+1}{2}$. ii) and ii) with different scaling and normalizations were shown in [19]. iv) was proved in [18]. □

Let us introduce another auxiliary family of polynomials $\left\{g_n\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)\right\}_{n\geq-1}$ that are related to polynomials p_n in the following way:

$$g_n\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}\rho^np_n\left(y|x,\rho^{-1},q\right) & if & \rho\neq0\\ b_n\left(x|q\right) & if & \rho=0\end{array}\right.. \tag{3.5}$$

We have the following easy Proposition:

Proposition 2. *i)* $\forall n\geq0:g_n\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=(-1)^nq^{\binom{n}{2}}p_n\left(y|x,\rho,q^{-1}\right)$,

ii) $\forall n\geq0:g_{n+1}\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=-2(xq^n-\rho y)g_n\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)-(1-q^n)(\rho^2-q^{n-1})g_{n-1}\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)$, *with* $g_{-1}\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=0$, $g_0\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=1$,

iii) $\forall\left|t\right|,\left|q\right|<1,\ \left|x\right|,\left|y\right|\leq1:\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{t^n}{(q)_n}g_n\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=1/\varphi_p\left(x,t|y,\rho,q\right)$,

iv) $\forall n\geq1,x,y,\rho\in\mathbb{R}:\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}n\\j\end{smallmatrix}\right]_qp_j\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)g_{n-j}\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=0$.

Proof. Is shifted to Section 5. □

Let us introduce the following polynomials of order 2 in x and y and 4 in ρ :

$$\omega\left(x,y|\rho\right)=(1-\rho^2)^2-4xy\rho(1+\rho^2)+4\rho^2\left(x^2+y^2\right). \tag{3.6}$$

We have an obvious observations collected in the following proposition:

Proposition 3. *i)* $\omega\left(x,y|\rho\right)=v\left(x|\rho e^{i\eta}\right)v\left(x|\rho e^{-i\eta}\right)$, *where* $y=\cos\left(\eta\right)$ *and polynomials* v *are defined by (2.7)*
ii) $\left(te^{i\theta},te^{-i\theta},te^{i\eta},te^{-i\eta}\right)_{1}=\omega\left(z,y|t\right)$, *with* $y=\cos\left(\eta\right)$, $z=\cos\left(\theta\right)$.

Besides one knows also the density with respect to which these polynomials are orthogonal. Namely in the present setting formula (2.14 with $d=c=0$) has the following form:

$$\int_{-1}^{1}p_{n}\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)p_{m}\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)f_{p}\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=\delta_{nm}\left(\rho^{2},q\right)_{n},\ f_{p}\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=f_{h}\left(x|q\right)\frac{\left(\rho^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\prod_{i=0}^{\infty}\omega\left(x,y|\rho q^{i}\right)},\tag{3.7}$$

is obtained from (2.11) after an obvious adjustment to complex parameters and application of Proposition 3, i).

Similarly we will consider the AW polynomials with two pairs of complex conjugate parameters. We will denote new parameters ρ_{1}, ρ_{2}, y, z.

Notice that :

Proposition 4. *With new parameters defined by (3.1) we have i)* $f_{n}(a,b,c,d,q)=$
$(1-q^{n})\frac{\omega\left(z,y|\rho_{1}\rho_{2}q^{n-1}\right)\left(\rho_{1}^{2}q^{n-1},\rho_{2}^{2}q^{n-1},\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}q^{n-2}\right)_{1}}{\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}q^{2n-3}\right)_{3}\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}q^{2n-2}\right)_{1}}$,
ii) $e_{n}(a,b,c,d,q)=2q^{n-1}\frac{q(\rho_{1}y+\rho_{2}z)\left(1-\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}q^{n-2}(1+q-q^{n+1})\right)+\rho_{1}\rho_{2}(\rho_{1}z+\rho_{2}y)\left(1-q^{n+1}-q^{n}+\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}q^{2n-1}\right)}{\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}q^{2n-2}\right)_{1}\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}q^{2n}\right)_{1}}$,
iii) $(ab,ac,ad,bc,bd,cd)_{1}=\left(\rho_{1}^{2},\rho_{2}^{2}\right)\omega\left(y,z|\rho_{1}\rho_{2},q\right)$, $abcd=\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}$.

Let us denote also $\forall n\geq-1$:

$$w_{n}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},z,\rho_{2},q\right)=\alpha_{n}\left(x|\rho_{1}e^{i\theta},\rho_{1}e^{-i\theta},\rho_{2}e^{i\eta},\rho_{2}e^{-i\eta},q\right).$$

Corollary 2.

$$f_{AW}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},z,\rho_{2},q\right)=f_{h}\left(x|q\right)\frac{\left(\rho_{1}^{2},\rho_{2}^{2}\right)_{\infty}\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\omega\left(y,z|\rho_{1}\rho_{2}q^{j}\right)}{\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}\right)_{\infty}\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\omega\left(x,y|\rho_{1}q^{j}\right)\omega\left(x,z|\rho_{2}q^{j}\right)}.$$

Proof. Follows (2.9) with (3.1) and the simplified by Proposition 3 i) and ii). ☐

Remark 5. Notice that: i) $\forall n\geq0:w_{n}\left(x|y,0,\rho_{2},z,q\right)=p_{n}\left(x|z,\rho_{2},q\right)$,
ii) $f_{AW}\left(x|y,0,z,\rho_{2},q\right)=f_{p}\left(x|z,\rho_{2},q\right)$.

Proof. $\rho_{1}=0$ implies $a=b=0$ which leads to $f_{n}\left(0,0,c,d,q\right)=\left(1-q^{n}\right)\left(1-\rho_{2}^{2}q^{n-1}\right)$, and $e_{n}\left(0,0,c,d,q\right)=2q^{n}\rho_{2}z$. Now we confront these values with (3.2) getting first assertion. The second is trivial. ☐

We will use also the following useful

Proposition 5. *Let* i *denote imaginary unit, then* $\forall n,m\geq0$*:*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{m}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_{q}\begin{bmatrix}m\\j\end{bmatrix}_{q}\frac{\left(te^{i(-\theta+\eta)}\right)_{k}\left(te^{i(\theta-\eta}\right)_{j}\left(te^{i(\theta+\eta)}\right)_{k+l}}{\left(t^{2}\right)_{k+j}}e^{i(2k-n)\theta}e^{i(2j-m)\eta}\tag{3.8}$$
$$=\sum_{l=0}^{m}\begin{bmatrix}m\\l\end{bmatrix}_{q}(-1)^{l}q^{\binom{l}{2}}\frac{h_{m-l}\left(z|q\right)p_{n+l}\left(y|z,t,q\right)}{\left(t^{2}\right)_{n+l}},$$

with $y=\cos\theta$ *and* $z=\cos\eta$.

Proof. Is shifted to Section 5 ☐

As a corollary we have our main result

Theorem 1. *$\forall n\geq 0:$*

(3.9)
$$w_{n}\left(x|\rho_{1},y,\rho_{2},z,q\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix} n\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q}p_{j}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},q\right)\frac{\rho_{2}^{n-j}\left(\rho_{1}^{2}q^{j}\right)_{n-j}}{\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}q^{n+j-1}\right)_{n-j}}g_{n-j}\left(z|y,\rho_{1}\rho_{2}q^{n-1},q\right),$$

(3.10)
$$p_{n}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},q\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix} n\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q}w_{j}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},z,\rho_{2},q\right)\frac{\rho_{2}^{n-j}\left(\rho_{1}^{2}q^{j}\right)_{n-j}}{\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}q^{2j}\right)_{n-j}}p_{n-j}\left(z|y,\rho_{1}\rho_{2}q^{j},q\right).$$

where $g_{n}\left(z|y,\tau,q\right)$ is defined by (3.5).

Proof. Is shifted to Section 5. □

As a corollary we have the following expansion of the Askey–Wilson density with complex parameters.

Corollary 3. *i)*

$$f_{AW}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},z,\rho_{2},q\right)=f_{p}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},q\right)\sum_{j\geq0}\frac{\rho_{2}^{j}p_{j}\left(z|y,\rho_{1}\rho_{2},q\right)}{\left(q\right)_{j}\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}\right)_{j}}p_{j}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},q\right), \tag{3.11}$$

hence for $\forall n\geq1,\left|y\right|,\left|z\right|\leq1,\left|\rho_{1}\right|,\left|\rho_{2}\right|<1:$

$$\int_{[-1,1]}p_{n}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},q\right)f_{AW}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},z,\rho_{2},q\right)dx=\frac{\rho_{2}^{n}\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}\right)_{n}}p_{n}(z|y,\rho_{1}\rho_{2},q), \tag{3.12}$$

ii)

(3.13)
$$f_{p}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},q\right)=f_{AW}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},z,\rho_{2},q\right)\sum_{j\geq0}\frac{\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}\right)_{2j}\rho_{2}^{j}g_{j}\left(z|y,\rho_{1}\rho_{2}q^{j-1},q\right)}{\left(q\right)_{j}\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}q^{j-1}\right)_{j}^{2}\left(\rho_{2}^{2}\right)_{j}\prod_{k=1}^{j}\omega\left(y,z|\rho_{1}\rho_{2}q^{k}\right)}w_{j}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},z,\rho_{2},q\right),$$

hence $\forall n\geq1,\left|y\right|,\left|z\right|\leq1,\left|\rho_{1}\right|\left|\rho_{2}\right|<1:$

(3.14)
$$\int_{[-1,1]}w_{n}(x|y,\rho_{1},z,\rho_{2},q)f_{p}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},q\right)dx=\frac{\rho_{2}^{n}\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{2}^{2}q^{n-1}\right)_{n}}g_{n}\left(z|y,\rho_{1}\rho_{2}q^{n-1},q\right).$$

Proof. We use idea of density expansion presented at the beginning of the paper. To get i) we use (1.1), (3.10) and (2.14 with $d=c=0$). ii) We use (1.1), (3.9) and (2.14) . □

Remark 6. Expansion given in assertion i) was (with slightly different scaling) obtained by the similar method in [12] or as a particular case of an other expansion in [14].

Remark 7. Let us consider the following correspondence between two groups of polynomials. To the first group let us take polynomials $\{h_{n}(x|q),b_{n}\left(y|q\right),p_{n}\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)\}$ and to the second $\{p_{n}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},q\right),\,g_{n}\left(z|y,\rho_{1}\rho_{2}q^{n-1},q\right),\,w_{n}\left(x|y,\rho_{1},z,\rho_{2},q\right)\}$ then we see that formula (3.9) is a generalization of Lemma 2, v) while (3.10) is a generalization of Lemma 2, vi). Besides (3.11) is a generalization of the Poisson–Mehler expansion formula

$$f_{p}\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=f_{h}\left(x|q\right)\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{\rho^{j}}{\left(q\right)_{j}}h_{j}\left(x|q\right)h_{j}\left(y|q\right), \tag{3.15}$$

which has many proofs see e.g. [1], [17] or [10] where the presented above idea of the density expansion is applied. Similarly (3.13) is a generalization of [10], (5.3). Notice that following Remark 5 we see that (3.11) as well (3.9) reduce to (3.15) and

Lemma 2, v) when it is set $\rho_1 = 0$. Similarly (3.13) and (3.10) reduce to [10], (5.3) and Lemma 2, vi) when we set $\rho_1 = 0$.

Corollary 4. *i)* $\forall n \geq 1, 0 \leq k < n, z, y, t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{p_j\left(z|y, tq^k, q\right)}{\left(t^2q^{2k}\right)_j} \frac{g_{n-k-j}\left(z|y, tq^{n-1}, q\right)}{\left(t^2q^{n+j+k-1}\right)_{n-k-j}} = 0,$$

ii) $\forall n \geq 1, 0 \leq k < n, z, y, t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{p_{n-k-m}\left(z|y, tq^{m+k}, q\right) g_m(z|y, tq^{m+k-1}, q)}{\left(t^2q^{2m+2k}\right)_{n-k-m}\left(t^2q^{m+2k-1}\right)_m} = 0.$$

Proof. Is shifted to Section 5. □

Remark 8. Notice that apart from the two above mentioned identities involving polynomials $\{p_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$ we have also the one exposed in Proposition 2, iv. All these identities are different.

4. Towards probabilistic interpretation

To get nice probabilistic interpretation of Askey–Wilson density and polynomials we have to make certain re-scaling and re-normalization of all polynomials that appeared above. Let us introduce the following sets:

$$S\left(q\right) = \begin{cases} S\left(q\right) = \left[-2/\sqrt{1-q}, 2/\sqrt{1-q}\right] & if \quad |q| < 1 \\ \mathbb{R} & if \quad q = 1 \end{cases}.$$

We will consider the following polynomials:
$H_n\left(x|q\right) = h_n\left(x\sqrt{1-q}/2\right)/\left(1-q\right)^{n/2}$, $P_n\left(x|y, \rho, q\right) = p_n\left(x\sqrt{1-q}/2|y\sqrt{1-q}/2, \rho, q\right)/\left(1-q\right)^{n/2}$,
$A_n\left(x|y, \rho_1, z, \rho_2, q\right) = w_n\left(x\sqrt{1-q}/2|y\sqrt{1-q}/2, \rho_1, z\sqrt{1-q}/2, \rho_2, q\right)/\left(1-q\right)^{n/2}$,
$B_n\left(x|q\right) = b_n\left(x\sqrt{1-q}/2|q\right)/\left(1-q\right)^{n/2}$, $G_n\left(x|y, \rho, q\right) = g_n\left(x\sqrt{1-q}/2|y\sqrt{1-q}/2, \rho, q\right)$.

Notice that now polynomials H_n, P_n, and A_n are monic.

One can easily check that these new polynomials satisfy the following 3-term recurrences:

$$H_{n+1}\left(x|q\right) = xH_n\left(x|q\right) - [n]_q H_{n-1}(x|q), \tag{4.1}$$

$$P_{n+1}\left(x|y, \rho, q\right) = (x - \rho yq^n)P_n\left(x|y, \rho, q\right) - [n]_q(1-\rho^2q^{n-1})P_{n-1}\left(x|y, \rho, q\right), \tag{4.2}$$

$$A_{n+1}\left(x|y, \rho_1, z, \rho_2, q\right) = (x - \beta_n)A_n\left(x|y, \rho_1, z, \rho_2, q\right) - \gamma_{n-1}A_{n-1}\left(x|y, \rho_1, z, \rho_2\right), \tag{4.3}$$

where we use Pochhammer symbol and (3.6) for the brevity and where:

$$\beta_n = \frac{q^n\left(y\rho_1 + z\rho_2\right)\left(1 - q^{n-2}(1+q-q^{n+1})\rho_1^2\rho_2^2\right) + q^{n-1}\rho_1\rho_2\left(z\rho_1 + y\rho_2\right)\left(1 - q^{n+1} - q^n + \rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2n-1}\right)}{(1-\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2n-2})(1-\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2n})}, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\gamma_n = \frac{[n]_q\left(\rho_1^2q^{n-1}\right)_1\left(\rho_2^2q^{n-1}\right)_1\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{n-2}\right)_1\omega\left(y\frac{\sqrt{1-q}}{2}, z\frac{\sqrt{1-q}}{2}|\rho_1\rho_2q^{n-1}\right)}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2n-3}\right)_3\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2n-2}\right)_1}, \tag{4.5}$$

with $H_{-1}\left(x|q\right) = H_{-1}\left(x|a, q\right) = P_{-1}\left(x|y, \rho, q\right) = B_{-1}\left(x|q\right) = G_{-1}\left(x|y, \rho, q\right) = A_{-1}\left(x|y, \rho_1, z, \rho_2, q\right) = 0$, $H_0\left(x|q\right) = H_0\left(x|a, q\right) = P_0\left(x|y, \rho, q\right) = B_0\left(x|q\right) = G_0\left(x|y, \rho, q\right) = A_0\left(x|y, \rho_1, z, \rho_2, q\right) = 1$.

Besides this change will allow passing with q to 1^-. Hence we will have two extreme values of q. One $q = 0$ (so called free case) and $q = 1$ (classical case) that will allow to support intuition. To describe those cases swiftly let us denote by $H_n\left(x\right)$ monic polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to measure with the density $\exp\left(-x^2/2\right)/\sqrt{2\pi}$. and by $U_n(x)$ let us denote Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind . Thus these polynomials are defined by the following 3-term recurrences:

$$H_{n+1}(x) = xH_n(x) + nH_{n-1}(x), U_{n+1}(x) = 2xU_n(x) - U_{n-1}(x), \tag{4.6}$$

with $H_{-1}(x) = U_{-1}(x) = 0$, $H_0(x) = U_0(x) = 1$.

Remark 9. $\forall n \geq 0$: i) $H_n(x|1) = H_n(x)$, $H_n(x|0) = U_n(x/2)$,

ii) $P_n(x|y,\rho,1) = H_n\left(\frac{x-\rho y}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}\right)\left(1-\rho^2\right)^{n/2}$, $P_n(x|y,\rho,0) = U_n(x/2) - \rho y U_{n-1}(x/2) + \rho^2 U_{n-2}(x/2)$,

iii) $A_n(x|y,\rho_1,z,\rho_2,1) = \left(\frac{\left(1-\rho_1^2\right)\left(1-\rho_2^2\right)}{1-\rho_1^2\rho_2^2}\right)^{n/2} H_n\left(\frac{x(1-\rho_1^2\rho_2^2) - \rho_1\left(1-\rho_2^2\right)y - \rho_2(1-\rho_1^2)z}{\sqrt{\left(1-\rho_1^2\right)\left(1-\rho_2^2\right)\left(1-\rho_1^2\rho_2^2\right)}}\right)$,
$A_n(x|y,\rho_1,z,\rho_2,0) = U_n(x/2) - (\rho_1 y + \rho_2 z) U_{n-1}(x/2) + (\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 + yz\rho_1\rho_2) U_{n-2}(x/2)$
$- \rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 z + \rho_2 y) U_{n-3}(x/2) + \rho_1^2\rho_2^2 U_{n-4}(x/2)$.

Proof. First of all let us notice that $[n]_1 = n$ and $[n]_0 = 1$. So (4.1) for $q = 1$ and $q = 0$ reduces to (4.6). Further for $q = 1$ $\beta_n = \frac{y\rho_1\left(1-\rho_2^2\right) + z\rho_2\left(1-\rho_1^2\right)}{1-\rho_1^2\rho_2^2}$ and $\gamma_n = \frac{n\left(1-\rho_1^2\right)\left(1-\rho_2^2\right)}{1-\rho_1^2\rho_2^2}$ and for $q = 0$ $\beta_n = 0$ and $\gamma_n =$i) ii) Follow (4.2) and for $q = 1$ (4.6) modified in a standard way to fit (4.6). The case $q = 0$ follows directly Lemma 3, i). iii) Follows the fact that β_n for $q = 1$ is equal to $\frac{y\rho_1\left(1-\rho_2^2\right) + z\rho_2\left(1-\rho_1^2\right)}{1-\rho_1^2\rho_2^2}$ -does not depend on n while for $q = 0$ is equal to 0 for $n \geq 2$. For $q = 1$ c_n is equal to $\frac{n\left(1-\rho_1^2\right)\left(1-\rho_2^2\right)}{1-\rho_1^2\rho_2^2}$ -proportional to n while for $q = 0$ to 1 for $n \geq 2$. For $q = 1$ it is easy to notice that 3-term recurrence satisfied polynomials A_n is a modified one given by (4.6). For $q = 0$ one can reason in two ways. One way of reasoning is to observe that the density given by (4.8) has a form of the ratio of $\sqrt{4-x^2}$ divided by certain polynomial of order 4. Hence following Proposition 1 of [10] we deduce that polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to the density of this form must be of the form of linear combination of successive $4+1=5$ polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to the density $\sqrt{4-x^2}/2\pi$ i.e. Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. Or we can notice that the 3-term recurrence satisfied by AW polynomials for $q = 0$ is the same as that of the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind with different initial conditions. Now it remains to find coefficients of the linear combination of the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. ☐

Adapted to current setting Lemma 2 has the following form

Lemma 3. *For* $\forall n \geq 0$, $x, y, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$,
i) $P_n(x|y,\rho,q) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \rho^{n-j} H_j(x|q) B_{n-j}(y|q)$,
ii) $H_n(x|q) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \rho^{n-j} H_{n-j}(y|q) P_j(x|y,\rho,q)$.

Proof. i) was shown in this form in [19]. ii) follows almost Lemma 2, v). ☐

Polynomials $\{H_n(x|q)\}$ and $\{P_n(x|y,\rho,q\}$ are orthogonal with respect to measures having respectively the following densities

$$f_N(x|q) = f_h\left(\frac{x\sqrt{1-q}}{2}|q\right)\frac{\sqrt{1-q}}{2}, \quad f_{CN}(x|y,\rho,q) = f_N(x|q)\frac{\left(\rho^2\right)_\infty}{\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\omega\left(x\frac{\sqrt{1-q}}{2}, y\frac{\sqrt{1-q}}{2}|\rho q^i\right)} \tag{4.7}$$

$x, y \in S(q)$. We will call distribution with the density f_N $q-$Normal while with f_{CN} conditional $q-$Normal.

Polynomials $\{A_n\}$ are orthogonal with respect to measure with the density:

$$f_{AW}\left(x|y,\rho_1,z,\rho_2,q\right)=\frac{f_{CN}\left(y|x,\rho_1,q\right)f_{CN}\left(x|z,\rho_2,q\right)}{f_{CN}\left(y|z,\rho_1\rho_2,q\right)}. \tag{4.8}$$

We have several easy observations that are believed to help intuition which we will put two remarks one concerning densities the second polynomials:

Remark 10. $f_N\left(x|1\right)$ and $f_{CN}\left(x|y,\rho,1\right)$ are Normal densities with appropriate parameters $f_N\left(x|0\right)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4-x^2},|x|\leq 2,$ (Wigner distribution with parameter 2), $f_{CN}\left(x|y,\rho,0\right)=\frac{\left(1-\rho^2\right)\sqrt{4-x^2}}{2\pi(\rho^2(x^2+y^2)-\rho xy(1+\rho^2)+(1-\rho^2)^2)}$ (Kesten–McKey distribution). From (4.8) it follows that $f_{AW}\left(x|y,\rho_1,z,\rho_2,1\right)$ is the density of certain Normal distribution with appropriate parameters while

$f_{AW}\left(x|y,\rho_1,z,\rho_2,0\right)=\frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}\omega\left(z\frac{\sqrt{1-q}}{2},y\frac{\sqrt{1-q}}{2}|\rho_1\rho_2\right)}{2\pi\omega\left(x\frac{\sqrt{1-q}}{2},y\frac{\sqrt{1-q}}{2}|\rho_1\right)\omega\left(x\frac{\sqrt{1-q}}{2},z\frac{\sqrt{1-q}}{2}|\rho_2\right)}$, for $\left|x\right|,\left|y\right|,\left|z\right|\leq 2,\ \left|\rho_1\right|,\rho_2|<1.$

Proof. f_N. For $q=1$ see [17], for $q=0$ one can see directly. f_{CN} for $q=1$ see [17], for $q=0$ we have get it directly from (4.7). f_{AW} for $q=1$ it follows (4.8) and formula for f_{CN}, for $q=0$ we apply (4.7) and direct calculation. Now we are ready to present probabilistic applications of the densities f_N , f_{CN} and f_{AW} as well as polynomials H_n, P_n , A_n. □

Let us consider 3 random variable say X, Y, Z their joint density is equal to $f_N\left(y|q\right)f_{CN}\left(x|y,\rho_1,q\right)f_{CN}\left(z|x,\rho_2,q\right)$. Another words we assume that Y,X,Z form a finite (of length 3) Markov chain.

Lemma 4. *For almost all $x,y,z\in S\left(q\right)$ and all $n\geq 1$we have:*

i) $X\sim Y\sim Z\sim f_N\left(Y,X\right)\sim f_N\left(x|q\right)f_{CN}\left(y|x,\rho_1,q\right),\left(Z,X\right)\sim f_N\left(x|q\right)f_{CN}\left(z|x,\rho_2,q\right),$ $\left(Z,Y\right)\sim f_N\left(z|q\right)f_{CN}\left(y|z,\rho_1\rho_2,q\right),$

ii) $Y|\left(X=x,Z=z\right)\sim f_{CN}(y|x,\rho_1,q),\ Z|\left(X=x,Y=y\right)\sim f_{CN}(z|x,\rho_2,q),$ $X|(Y=y,Z=z)\sim f_{AW}\left(x|y,\rho_1,z,\rho_2,q\right),$

iii) $\mathbb{E}\left(A_n\left(X|y,\rho_1,z,\rho_2,q\right)|Y=y,Z=z\right)=0,\mathbb{E}(P_n(X|y,\rho_1,z,\rho_2,q)|Y=y,Z=z)=\frac{\rho_2^n\left(\rho_1^2\right)_n}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2\right)_n}P_n\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2,q\right),$

iv) $\mathbb{E}\left(P_n\left(X|y,\rho_1,q\right)|Y=y\right)=0,\mathbb{E}(A_n\left(X|y,\rho_1,z,\rho_2,q\right)|Y=y)=\frac{\rho_2^n\left(\rho_1^2\right)_n}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{n-1}\right)_n}G_n\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^{n-1},q\right).$

Proof. i) and ii) follow the following observations: First one $\int_{S(q)}f_N\left(x|q\right)f_{CN}\left(y|x,\rho_1,q\right)dx$ $=f_N\left(y|q\right)$ which follows (4.7) from which it follows that $f_N\left(y|q\right)f_{CN}\left(x|y,,t,q\right)=$ $f_N\left(x|q\right)f_{CN}\left(y|x,t,q\right)$. Second one $\int_{S(q)}f_{CN}\left(x|y,\rho_1,q\right)f_{CN}\left(y|z,\rho_2,q\right)dy=f_{CN}\left(x|z,\rho_1\rho_2,q\right)$ for $\left|\rho_1\right|,\left|\rho_2\right|<1$ which was proved in [19]. Third one states that the conditional density $X|(Y=y,Z=z)$ is equal to the ratio of joint density divided by the marginal density of (Y,z) given in assertion iii) and iv) follow the fact that polynomials A_n and P_n are respectively orthogonal polynomials of f_{AW} and f_{CN}. The remaining statements are in fact equivalent to assertions of Corollary 3. □

Let us mention that assertions of Lemma 4 does list only the most important probabilistic aspects of mentioned in this paper polynomials and densities. The others can be deduced from many other properties that were presented in Section 3. Let us mention for historical reasons that first such probabilistic aspects appeared an excellent paper of Bożejko et all [3] where two stochastic processes that constitute generalization of ordinary Wiener and Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes appeared. This was done in noncommutative probability context however is important also in for classical probability. In [3] there appeared re-scaled densities f_h and f_p

that this densities f_N and f_{CN}. Further analysis of the stochastic properties of these processes was done recently in [15].

Generalization of the presented above finite 3-step Markov chain was done in [13].

An attempt to overcome the Markov scheme was done in [14]. Unfortunately it was an unsuccessful attempt in the sense that it turned out that it is impossible to construct trough generalization of the Kible–Slepian formula a $3-$dimensional joint density that would have marginals of the form $f_N\left(x|q\right)f_{CN}\left(y|x,\rho,q\right)$ and many other properties described in Lemma 4 (except of course vii) and viii)).

Let us mention also that in 2001 W. Bryc in [2] constructed a stationary Markov chain $\{X_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ satisfying simple intuitive conditions imposed on its first two conditional moments. It turned out that one- dimensional distribution of this chain has density f_N while its transitional distribution has density f_{CN}. Let us mention that parameter q does not appear in the original probabilistic description of the chain. It has to be defined as a certain rational function of parameters describing these first two conditional moments. For details refer to original paper of Bryc [2], but also some recollection of known and presentation of new properties of these Markov processes is also done in [19],[15],[13].

Finally let us mention also the fact that polynomials and densities analyzed in this paper appear also in the so called 'quadratic harnesses context'. For detail see [22],[21],[20].

5. Proofs

Proof of Proposition 1. As far as f_n is concerned the situation is simple straightforward. So let us concentrate on justifying the form of e_n. Analyzing $a^{-1}-A_n=(1-\frac{(1-abq^n)(1-acq^n)(1-adq^n)(1-abcdq^{n-1})}{(1-abcdq^{2n-1})(1-abcdq^{2n})})/a$ and $a-C_n=a(1-\frac{(1-q^n)(1-bcq^{n-1})(1-bdq^{n-1})(1-cdq^{n-1})}{(1-abcdq^{2n-2})(1-abcdq^{2n-1})})$ we see that their sum is a rational function with common denominator being the product of the denominators of both expressions. Secondly analyzing $a^{-1}-A_n$ we see that factor a will cancel out and will not appear in the denominator. Thirdly notice that each of the expressions has both symmetric in parameters a, b, c, d, and non-symmetric parts. More over the non-symmetric parts cancel out in the sum of the two expressions. For example we have expression bq^n in $a^{-1}-A_n$ while in $a-C_n$ we have $-bq^n$. Thus it remains to calculate $(1-abcdq^{2n})$ times the symmetric (in a, b, c, d) part of $a^{-1}-A_n$ plus $(1-abcdq^{2n-2})$ times symmetric part of $a-C_n$. ☐

Proof of Lemma 1. Let us consider $a=0$. We get then $w_n\left(0,b,c,d,q\right)=(1-q^n)(1-bcq^{n-1})(1-bdq^{n-1})(1-cdq^{n-1})$ and $v_n\left(0,b,c,d,q\right)=q^n(b+c+d)+bcdq^{n-1}(1-q^{n+1}-q^n)$, Thus comparing this with (2.4 with $d=0$) we deduce that $a_n\left(x|0,b,c,d,q\right)=\psi_n\left(x|b,c,d,q\right).$ To get (2.5) we apply formula 6.4 of [9] with $\alpha=a$, $a=0$. One has to be aware that this formula is valid for polynomials p_n. Thus taking into account (2.3) we get using on the way formula $a^{n-k}\left(\alpha/a\right)_{n-k}=\left(-\alpha\right)^{n-k}q^{\binom{n-k}{2}}$ when $a=0:$ Hence we are getting:

$$c_{k,n}=\left(-1\right)^kq^{nk-\binom{k}{2}}\left(q^{-n}\right)_kq^{\binom{n-k}{2}}\frac{\left(-a\right)^{n-k}\left(abcdq^{n-1}\right)_k\left(bcq^k,bdq^k,cdq^k\right)_{n-k}}{\left(q\right)_k}\frac{1}{\left(abcdq^{n-1}\right)_n}.$$

Now notice that $\left(q^{-n}\right)_k=\left(-1\right)^kq^{-nk+\binom{k}{2}}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}n\\k\end{smallmatrix}\right]_q\left(q\right)_k.$ Hence we have:

$$c_{k,n}=\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_qq^{\binom{n-k}{2}}\left(-a\right)^{n-k}\frac{\left(bcq^k,bdq^k,cdq^k\right)_{n-k}}{\left(abcdq^{n+k-1}\right)_{n-k}}.$$

To get (2.6) we use the same formula but with $\alpha=0$. ☐

Proof of the Proposition 2. i) Let us notice that using (3.4) and Lemma 2, ii) we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_n\left(y|x,\rho,q^{-1}\right) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix} n\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q^{-1}}\rho^{n-j}h_j\left(y|q^{-1}\right)b_{n-j}\left(x|q^{-1}\right)\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix} n\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q}q^{j(j-n)}\rho^{n-j}(-1)^j\left(-1\right)^{n-j}q^{j(j-n)-\binom{j}{2}-\binom{n-j}{2}}b_j\left(y|q\right)h_{n-j}\left(x|q\right)\\ &= q^{-\binom{n}{2}}(-1)^n\sum_{j=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix} n\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q}\rho^{n-j}b_j\left(y|q\right)h_{n-j}\left(x|q\right)=q^{-\binom{n}{2}}(-1)^n\rho^n p_n\left(y|x,\rho^{-1},q\right). \end{aligned}$$

ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n+1}\left(x|y,\rho,q\right) &= \rho^{n+1}(2(y-\rho^{-1}q^n x)p_n\left(y|x,\rho^{-1},q\right)-(1-q^n)(1-\rho^{-2}q^{n-1})p_{n-1}\left(y|x,\rho^{-1}q\right))\\ &= -2(q^n x-\rho y)g_n\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)-(1-q^n)(\rho^2-q^{n-1})g_{n-1}(x|y,\rho,q). \end{aligned}$$

iii) Assume $\rho\neq 0$. We have $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{t^n}{(q)_n}g_n\left(x|y,\rho,q\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{\rho^n t^n}{(q)_n}p_n\left(y|x,\rho^{-1},q\right)$ $=\varphi_p\left(y,\rho t|x,\rho^{-1},q\right)=\frac{\varphi_h(y,\rho t|q)}{\varphi_h(x,t|q)}=1/\varphi_p\left(x,t|y,\rho,q\right)$ by (3.3). iv) Follows directly iii). ☐

Proof of Proposition 5. Proof is based on two independently obtained formulae for the sum $\sum_{k\geq 0}h_{n+k}\left(x|q\right)h_{m+k}\left(y|q\right)\frac{t^k}{(q)_k}$. Now recall that Carlitz in [7], 1.4 summed up the following expression $\sum_{k\geq 0}R_{m+k}\left(x|q\right)R_{n+k}\left(y|q\right)\frac{\tau^k}{(q)_k}$, where $R_n\left(x|q\right)$ denotes the so called Rogers-Szegö (RS) polynomial defined by :$R_n\left(x|q\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n\\ k\end{smallmatrix}\right]_q x^k$. Let us also recall formula $h_n(\cos\theta|q)=\cos(ni\theta)R_n(e^{-2i\theta}|q)$ (see [17] formula 13.1.7) expressing relationship between RS and qh polynomials.

Applying ([7], 1.4) with $x=\exp\left(-2i\theta\right)$, $y=\exp\left(-2i\eta\right)$ and $\tau=\exp\left(i(\theta+\eta\right)t$ we get:

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}R_{m+k}\left(e^{-2i\theta}|q\right)R_{n+k}\left(e^{-2i\eta}|q\right)\frac{e^{ik(\theta+\eta)}t^k}{(q)_k}=\sum_{k\geq 0}R_k\left(e^{-2i\theta}|q\right)R_k\left(e^{-2i\eta}|q\right)\frac{e^{ik(\theta+\eta)}t^k}{(q)_k}\times$$
$$\sum_{s=0}^{m}\sum_{r=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix} m\\ s\end{bmatrix}_q\begin{bmatrix} n\\ r\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{\left(te^{i(-\theta+\eta)}\right)_s\left(te^{i(\theta-\eta)}\right)_r\left(te^{-i(\theta+\eta)}\right)_{s+r}}{\left(t^2\right)_{s+r}}e^{-2i(m-s)\theta}e^{-2i(n-r)\eta},$$

Multiplying both sides by $e^{i(m\theta+n\eta)}$ and passing to polynomials h_n we get

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}h_{m+k}\left(x|q\right)h_{n+k}\left(y|q\right)\frac{t^k}{(q)_k}=\sum_{k\geq 0}h_m\left(x|q\right)h_n\left(y|q\right)\frac{t^k}{(q)_k}\tag{5.1}$$
$$\times\sum_{s=0}^{m}\sum_{r=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix} m\\ s\end{bmatrix}_q\begin{bmatrix} n\\ r\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{\left(te^{i(-\theta+\eta)}\right)_s\left(te^{i(\theta-\eta)}\right)_r\left(te^{-i(\theta+\eta)}\right)_{s+r}}{\left(t^2\right)_{s+r}}e^{i\theta(2s-m)}e^{i\eta(2r-n)}.$$

Now recall that in [10], Lemma 3 i) we have proved certain formula for the polynomials H_n related to polynomials h_n by as in the beginning of Section 4. After necessary adjustment to polynomials h_n (5.1) we get our assertion. ☐

Proof of Corollary 1. We start with (2.5), then we use (2.6 with $d=0$)

$$\alpha_n(x|a,b,c,d,q)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\i\end{bmatrix}_q(-a)^{n-i}q^{\binom{n-i}{2}}\frac{\left(bcq^i,bdq^i,cdq^i\right)_{n-i}}{\left(abcdq^{n+i-1}\right)_{n-i}}\psi_i\left(x|b,c,d,q\right)$$

$$=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\i\end{bmatrix}_q(-a)^{n-i}q^{\binom{n-i}{2}}\frac{\left(bcq^i,bdq^i,cdq^i\right)_{n-i}}{\left(abcdq^{n+i-1}\right)_{n-i}}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\begin{bmatrix}i\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-b)^{i-k}q^{\binom{i-k}{2}}\left(cdq^k\right)_{i-k}Q_k\left(x|c,d,q\right)$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^{n-k}\left(cdq^k\right)_{n-k}Q_k\left(x|c,d,q\right)\sum_{m=0}^{n-k}\begin{bmatrix}n-k\\m\end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{n-k-m}{2}+\binom{m}{2}}a^{n-k-m}b^m\frac{\left(bcq^{k+m},bdq^{k+m}\right)_{n-k-m}}{\left(abcdq^{n+k+m-1}\right)_{n-k-m}}.$$

Now we notice that $(n-m)(n-m-1)/2+m(m-1)/2-n(n-1)/2=m\,(m-n)$

Hence we see that $q^{\binom{n-k-m}{2}+\binom{m}{2}}=q^{\binom{n-k}{2}}q^{m(m-n+k)}$ and consequently we get (2.15). ☐

Proof of Theorem 1. First we will prove (3.10). We start with (2.17), apply (3.1) getting

$$p_n\left(x|y,\rho_1,q\right)=$$
$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\j\end{bmatrix}_q\left(\rho_1^2q^j\right)_{n-j}\rho_2^{n-j}w_n\left(x|y,\rho_1,z,\rho_2,q\right)\times$$
$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-j}\begin{bmatrix}n-j\\m\end{bmatrix}_q e^{-i(n-j-m)\eta}e^{im\eta}\frac{\left(\rho_1\rho_2e^{i(\eta-\theta)}q^j,\rho_1\rho_2e^{-i(\eta+\theta)}q^j\right)_m}{(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2j})_m}.$$

Now we apply (3.8) with $m->0$ and $j->0$ and $n->n-j$, $t->\rho_1\rho_2q^j$ and get $\sum_{m=0}^{n-j}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}n-j\\m\end{smallmatrix}\right]_q e^{-i(n-j-m)\eta}e^{im\eta}\frac{\left(e^{i(\theta-\eta)}q^j,e^{-i(\theta+\eta)}q^j\right)_m}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2j}\right)_m}=p_{n-j}\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^j,q\right)/\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2j}\right)_{n-j}.$

Now let us concentrate on proving (3.9). Using (2.15) and (3.1) we get:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^{n-k}q^{\binom{n-k}{2}}\left(cdq^k\right)_{n-k}Q_k\left(x|c,d,q\right)\times\sum_{m=0}^{n-k}\begin{bmatrix}n-k\\m\end{bmatrix}_q q^{m(m-n+k)}a^mb^{n-k-m}\frac{(bcq^{n-m},bdq^{n-m})_m}{(abcdq^{2n-m-1})_m}.$$

Hence

$$w_n\left(x|\rho_1,y,\rho_2,z,q\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^{n-k}q^{\binom{n-k}{2}}\left(\rho_1^2q^k\right)_{n-k}p_k\left(x|y,\rho_1,q\right)$$

$$\times\sum_{m=0}^{n-k}\begin{bmatrix}n-k\\m\end{bmatrix}_q q^{m(m-n+k)}\rho_2^me^{im\eta}\rho_2^{n-k-m}e^{-i(n-k-m)\eta}\frac{\left(q^{n-m}\rho_1\rho_2e^{i(-\eta+\theta)},q^{n-m}\rho_1\rho_2e^{i(-\eta-\theta)}\right)_m}{(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2n-m-1})_m}$$

Now we apply formula (3.8) with $m\longrightarrow 0$, $n\longrightarrow n-k$, $t=\rho_1\rho_2q^{n-1}$. We have applying (2.2) on the way

$$w_n\left(x|\rho_1,y,\rho_2,z,q\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^{n-k}q^{\binom{n-k}{2}}\left(\rho_1^2q^k\right)_{n-k}\rho_2^{n-k}p_k\left(x|y,\rho_1,q\right)$$

$$\times\sum_{m=0}^{n-k}\begin{bmatrix}n-k\\m\end{bmatrix}_{q^{-1}}e^{-i(n-k-2m)\eta}\frac{\left(q^{-m+1}te^{i(-\eta+\theta)},q^{-m+1}te^{i(-\eta-\theta)}\right)_m}{\left(t^2q^{-m+1}\right)_m}$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q(-1)^{n-k}q^{\binom{n-k}{2}}\left(\rho_1^2q^k\right)_{n-k}\rho_2^{n-k}p_k\left(x|y,\rho_1,q\right)\frac{p_{n-k}\left(y|x,t,q^{-1}\right)}{\left(t^2;q^{-1}\right)_{n-k}}.$$

Now it remains to apply Proposition 2, i).and once more (2.2). ☐

Proof of the Corollary 4. i) We combine (3.9) and (3.10) getting:

$$w_n\left(x|\rho_1,y,\rho_2,z,q\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\j\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{\rho_2^{n-j}\left(\rho_1^2q^j\right)_{n-j}}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{n+j-1}\right)_{n-j}}g_{n-j}\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^{n-1},q\right)$$

$$\times\sum_{k=0}^{j}\begin{bmatrix}j\\k\end{bmatrix}_q w_k\left(x|y,\rho_1,z,\rho_2,q\right)\frac{\rho_2^{j-k}\left(\rho_1^2q^k\right)_{j-k}}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2k}\right)_{j-k}}p_{j-k}\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^k,q\right)$$

Changing the order of summation leads:

$$w_n\left(x|\rho_1,y,\rho_2,z,q\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q w_k\left(x|y,\rho_1,z,\rho_2,q\right)\rho_2^{n-k}\left(\rho_1^2q^k\right)_{n-k}\times$$

$$\sum_{j=k}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n-k\\j-k\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{g_{n-j}\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^{n-1},q\right)}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{n+j-1}\right)_{n-j}}\frac{p_{j-k}\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^k,q\right)}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2k}\right)_{j-k}}$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q w_k\left(x|y,\rho_1,z,\rho_2,q\right)\rho_2^{n-k}\left(\rho_1^2q^k\right)_{n-k}\sum_{m=0}^{n-k}\begin{bmatrix}n-k\\m\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{g_{n-k-m}\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^{n-1},q\right)}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{n+k+m-1}\right)_{n-k-m}}\frac{p_m\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^k,q\right)}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2k}\right)_m}.$$

Since expansions in AW polynomials is unique we deduce that $\sum_{m=0}^{n-k}\begin{bmatrix}n-k\\m\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{g_{n-k-m}\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^{n-1},q\right)}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{n+k+m-1}\right)_{n-k-m}}\frac{p_m\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^k,q\right)}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2k}\right)_m}=0$ for $k=0,\ldots,n-1$. Now we replace $\rho_1\rho_2$ by t. Since we deal with rational functions we can extend range of all variables to all reals.

ii) We combine (3.10) and (3.9) getting

$$p_n\left(x|y,\rho_1,q\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\j\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{\rho_2^{n-j}\left(\rho_1^2q^j\right)_{n-j}}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2j}\right)_{n-j}}p_{n-j}\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^j,q\right)$$

$$\times\sum_{k=0}^{j}\begin{bmatrix}j\\k\end{bmatrix}_q p_k\left(x|y,\rho_1,q\right)\frac{\rho_2^{j-k}\left(\rho_1^2q^k\right)_{j-k}}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{j+k-1}\right)_{j-k}}g_{j-k}\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^{j-1},q\right).$$

We change the order of summation getting:

$$p_n\left(x|y,\rho_1,q\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q p_k\left(x|y,\rho_1,q\right)\rho_2^{n-k}\left(\rho_1^2q^k\right)_{n-k}\times$$

$$\sum_{j=k}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n-k\\j-k\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{p_{n-j}\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^j,q\right)}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2j}\right)_{n-j}}\frac{g_{j-k}\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^{j-1},q\right)}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{j+k-1}\right)_{j-k}}$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q p_k\left(x|y,\rho_1,q\right)\rho_2^{n-k}\left(\rho_1^2q^k\right)_{n-k}\times\sum_{m=0}^{n-k}\begin{bmatrix}n-k\\m\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{p_{n-k-m}\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^{m+k},q\right)}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2k+2m}\right)_{n-k-m}}\frac{g_m\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^{m+k-1},q\right)}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2k+m-1}\right)_m}.$$

Since expansion in ASC polynomials is unique we deduce that $\sum_{m=0}^{n-k}\begin{bmatrix}n-k\\m\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{p_{n-k-m}\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^{m+k},q\right)}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2k+2m}\right)_{n-k-m}}\frac{g_m\left(z|y,\rho_1\rho_2q^{m+k-1},q\right)}{\left(\rho_1^2\rho_2^2q^{2k+m-1}\right)_m}=0$ for $k=0,\ldots,n-1$. Now it remains to replace $\rho_1\rho_2$ by t. □

REFERENCES

[1] Bressoud, D. M. A simple proof of Mehler's formula for q-Hermite polynomials. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **29** (1980), no. 4, 577–580. MR0578207 (81f:33009)

[2] Bryc, Włodzimierz. Stationary random fields with linear regressions. *Ann. Probab.* **29** (2001), no. 1, 504–519. MR1825162 (2002d:60014)

[3] Bożejko, Marek; Kümmerer, Burkhard; Speicher, Roland. q-Gaussian processes: non-commutative and classical aspects. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **185** (1997), no. 1, 129–154. MR1463036 (98h:81053)

[4] Atakishiyeva, Mesuma; Atakishiyev, Natig. A non-standard generating function for continuous dual q-Hahn polynomials. *Revista de Matemática: Teoría y Aplicaciones* (2011) **18**(1) : 111–120

[5] Floreanini, Roberto; LeTourneux, Jean; Vinet, Luc. More on the q-oscillator algebra and q-orthogonal polynomials. *J. Phys. A* 28 (1995), no. **10**, L287–L293. MR1343867 (96e:33043).

[6] Floreanini, Roberto; LeTourneux, Jean; Vinet, Luc. Symmetry techniques for the Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials. *J. Phys. A* 30 (1997), no. **9**, 3107–3114. MR1456902 (98k:33036).

[7] Carlitz, L. Generating functions for certain q-orthogonal polynomials. *Collect. Math.* **23** (1972), 91–104. MR0316773 (47 #5321)

[8] Corteel, Sylvie; Williams, Lauren K. Staircase tableaux, the asymmetric exclusion process, and Askey-Wilson polynomials. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107 (2010), no. 15, 6726–6730. MR2630104

[9] Askey, Richard; Wilson, James. Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **54** (1985), no. 319, iv+55 pp. MR0783216 (87a:05023)

[10] Szabłowski, Paweł J., Expansions of one density via polynomials orthogonal with respect to the other., *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **383** (2011) 35–54,

[11] Szabłowski, Paweł J., On the structure and probabilistic interpretation of Askey–Wilson densities and polynomials with complex parameters. *J. Functional Anal.* **262**(2011), 635-659,

[12] Szabłowski, Paweł J., On summable form of Poisson-Mehler kernel for big $q-$Hermite and Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials, http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.1848, submitted

[13] Szabłowski, Paweł J. Multidimensional q-normal and related distributions—Markov case. *Electron. J. Probab.* **15** (2010), no. 40, 1296–1318. MR2678392

[14] Szabłowski, Paweł J. Towards a $q-$analogue of the Kibble–Slepian formula in 3 dimensions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262** (2012), 210-233, http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.4929

[15] $q-$Wiener and $(\alpha,q)-$ Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes. A generalization of known processes, in print in *Theory of Probability and Its Applications,* 2011,http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0507303

[16] Koekoek R. , Swarttouw R. F. (1999) The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q-analogue, ArXiv:math/9602214

[17] Ismail, Mourad E. H. Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable. With two chapters by Walter Van Assche. With a foreword by Richard A. Askey. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 98. *Cambridge University Press,* Cambridge, 2005. xviii+706 pp. ISBN: 978-0-521-78201-2; 0-521-78201-5 MR2191786 (2007f:33001)

[18] Ismail, Mourad E. H.; Rahman, Mizan; Stanton, Dennis. Quadratic q-exponentials and connection coefficient problems. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1999), no. 10, 2931–2941. MR1621949 (2000a:33027)

[19] Bryc, Włodzimierz; Matysiak, Wojciech; Szabłowski, Paweł J. Probabilistic aspects of Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (2005), no. 4, 1127–1134 (electronic). MR2117214 (2005m:33033)

[20] Bryc, W. , Wesołowski, J. (2005), Conditional Moments of q-Meixner Processes, *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **131**, 415-441

[21] Bryc, W, Wesołowski, J. (2007), Bi - Poissson process, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, *Quantum Probability and Related Topics* **10** (2) , 277-291

[22] Bryc, W. , Matysiak, W. , Wesołowski, J. , The Bi - Poisson process: a quadratic harness. *Annals of Probability* **36** (2) (2008), s. 623-646

[23] Bryc, Włodek; Wesołowski, Jacek. Askey-Wilson polynomials, quadratic harnesses and martingales. *Ann. Probab.* 38 (2010), no. 3, 1221–1262. MR2674998 (2011d:60226)

[24] Ismail, M. E. H.; Masson, D. R. q-Hermite polynomials, biorthogonal rational functions, and q-beta integrals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **346** (1994), no. 1, 63–116. MR1264148 (96a:33022)

[25] Askey, Richard. Continuous q-Hermite polynomials when $q>1$. q-series and partitions (Minneapolis, MN, 1988), 151–158, *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.*, **18**, Springer, New York, 1989. MR1019849 (90h:33019)

[26] Askey, Richard; Ismail, Mourad. Recurrence relations, continued fractions, and orthogonal polynomials. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **49** (1984), no. 300, iv+108 pp. MR0743545 (85g:33008)

Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences,, Warsaw University of Technology, pl. Politechniki 1, 00-661 Warsaw, Poland

E-mail address: `pawel.szablowski@gmail.com`